Computational Linear Agebra: Exercises 1

Peter R. Taylor

1. Prove the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$|\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{y}| \le \|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \, \|\mathbf{y}\|_2.$$

(Hint: consider a vector of the form $\mathbf{r} + \alpha \mathbf{s}$ and expand the scalar product.)

2. We can define a new type of product, denoted by \circ , for 2-vectors as

$$\left(\begin{array}{c}a\\b\end{array}\right)\circ\left(\begin{array}{c}c\\d\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}ac-bd\\ad+bc\end{array}\right).$$

- (a) Show that this provides a vector formulation of multiplication of two complex numbers a + bi and c + di.
- (b) How does this influence the computational cost of complex compared to real arithmetic? How could a chip designer improve this?
- (c) Your CPU handles 64-bit arithmetic, but you need to do 128-bit "quadruple precision" multiplication (say). Modify the two-vector product operation above to produce the desired result. Again, what is the computational cost?
- (d) Now, consider multiplication of quaternions a + bi + cj + dk, where the quaternion units multiply as

$$i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1$$
, $ij = k = -ji$, $jk = i = -kj$, $ki = j = -ik$.

Define an appropriate rule for multiplication of 4-vectors that provides a vector formulation of quaternion multiplication. Confirm that quaternion multiplication is not commutative.

- 3. The general formula for matrix multiplication was given in the lecture. Three matrix indices were involved, so this formula implies three nested loops. There are six permutations of three objects.
 - (a) Write down all six possible loop structures.
 - (b) What type of vector operation is implied by the innermost loop in each case?
 - (c) What are the implications for memory access in each case, and how might this affect performance?
- 4. Consider now the matrix triple product $\mathbf{C}=\mathbf{B}^T\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}$, where T denotes a matrix transpose. In terms of explicit summations we can write

$$C_{ij} = \sum_{k} \sum_{l} B_{ki} A_{kl} B_{lj}.$$

- (a) What is the operation count (or scaling with matrix dimension N) of this expression?
- (b) How can we reduce the operation count? What are the consequences for storage?
- (c) How would you code this if you could use at most an extra array of length N as an intermediate? If the matrix **A** could be overwritten (and all matrices are square)?
- (d) Now consider the case in which **A** and **B** are block-diagonal with the following structure

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} \mathbf{A}(N/2,N/2) & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{A}(N/2,N/2) \end{array}\right)$$

and similarly for **B**. What is the operation count of the triple product? Deduce the factor by which the work is reduced when the matrices are block-diagonal in m blocks of the same dimension.

(e) In many physical applications, a triple product like $\mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{B}$ is encountered in which the matrix \mathbf{A} is symmetric $(A_{ij} = A_{ji})$. If we store only the nonzero elements of \mathbf{A} , using a one-dimensional index k = i(i-1)/2 + j, where i = 1, N and j = 1, i, construct the inner loop of a program to calculate the matrix product. How might this affect performance compared to the use of full square matrices?